

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	<b>i</b>
Introduction.....	<b>1-1</b>
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS.....	1-4
Existing and Projected Conditions Analysis.....	<b>2-1</b>
DEMOGRAPHICS.....	2-1
Population.....	2-1
Age Cohorts.....	2-2
Housing Units.....	2-3
Population Density.....	2-4
Minority Population.....	2-4
Families below Poverty Level.....	2-5
Summary of Demographic Analysis.....	2-5
ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	2-6
Wetlands.....	2-7
Floodplains.....	2-8
Rare and Endangered Species Habitat.....	2-9
Steep Slopes and Topography.....	2-10
BioMap Core Habitat and Supporting Natural Landscapes.....	2-11
Areas of Critical Environmental Concern.....	2-12
Conservation Assessment and Prioritization System (CAPS).....	2-12
Summary of Environmental Analysis.....	2-13
LAND USE.....	2-14
Residential, Commercial and Industrial Land.....	2-14
Historic, Architectural, Archeological, and Cultural Resources.....	2-15
Public Water Supply.....	2-16
Public Parks, Recreation, and Conservation Lands.....	2-17
Prime Agricultural Soils in Existing Agricultural Use.....	2-18
Environmental Justice.....	2-19
Summary of Land Use Analysis.....	2-21
TRANSPORTATION.....	2-22
Traffic Counts.....	2-22
Historic Average Daily Traffic (ADT) Analysis.....	2-23
Capacity Analysis.....	2-25
Existing Peak Hour Traffic Conditions.....	2-26

Projected Peak Hour Traffic Conditions.....	2-26
Special Event Existing & Projected Peak Hour Traffic Conditions.....	2-27
Accident Analysis.....	2-31
Speed and Delay Analysis.....	2-33
Traffic Distribution.....	2-37
Summary of Existing and Projected Traffic Conditions.....	2-38
<b>Identification &amp; Evaluation of Alternatives.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
IDENTIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	3-1
Far West Alternatives.....	3-2
Near West Alternatives.....	3-3
Lee Central Alternatives.....	3-4
Near East Alternatives.....	3-5
Far East Alternatives.....	3-6
EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	3-7
Coarse Screening.....	3-7
Assessment of Environmental Impacts.....	3-7
Assessment of Residential Impacts.....	3-8
Assessment of Transportation Impacts.....	3-8
Summary of the Coarse Screening Process.....	3-8
Meeting with Massachusetts Turnpike Authority.....	3-10
Fine Screening.....	3-10
Assessment of Environmental Impacts.....	3-11
Assessment of Land Use Impacts.....	3-12
Assessment of Transportation Impacts.....	3-13
Summary of the Fine Screening Process.....	3-13
Detailed Analysis of Selected Alternatives.....	3-16
Further Analysis of Six Selected Alternatives.....	3-17
Pittsfield to I-90 Travel Time Analysis.....	3-19
Capacity and Level of Service Analysis.....	3-22
Regional Traffic Model Travel Time Analysis.....	3-26
Cost Estimates for the Remaining Alternatives.....	3-26
RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-28

Appendix A: Technical Analysis Data

Appendix B: Public Participation Process

## List of Tables

Table 2-1: Population Change.....	2-1
Table 2-2: Age Cohorts.....	2-3
Table 2-3: Housing Units.....	2-3
Table 2-4: Level of Service Criteria.....	2-25
Table 2-5: Existing Peak Hour Traffic Conditions at Key Intersections.....	2-28
Table 2-6: Existing & 2030 No-Build PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions.....	2-29
Table 2-7: Special Event Existing & 2030 No-Build PM Peak Hour Traffic...	2-30
Table 2-8: Number of Accidents on Mid-Block Segments of Route 20.....	2-31
Table 2-9: Number of Accidents at the Intersections.....	2-32
Table 2-10: Average Posted and Observed Speed.....	2-36
Table 3-1: Travel Time Analysis for Alternative 8B.....	3-21
Table 3-2: 2030 No-Build and Build Conditions without Improvements.....	3-23
Table 3-3: 2030 No-Build and Build Conditions with Improvements.....	3-25
Table 3-4: 2030 Build and No-Build Travel Time.....	3-26
Table 3-5: Cost Estimates.....	3-26

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Study Area.....	1-1
Figure 1-2: Study Corridor.....	1-1
Figure 2-1: Population Change 1990 - 2000.....	2-2
Figure 2-2: Population Density.....	2-4
Figure 2-3: Minority Population.....	2-4
Figure 2-4: Families below Poverty Level.....	2-5
Figure 2-5: Wetlands.....	2-7
Figure 2-6: Floodplains.....	2-8
Figure 2-7: Rare and Endangered Species Habitat.....	2-9
Figure 2-8: Steep Slopes.....	2-10
Figure 2-9: Core Habitat and Supporting Natural Landscapes Analysis.....	2-11
Figure 2-10: Areas of Critical Environmental Concern.....	2-12
Figure 2-11: Conservation Assessment and Prioritization System.....	2-13
Figure 2-12: Residential, Commercial, and Industrial Land Use.....	2-14
Figure 2-13: Historic, Architectural, and Cultural Resources.....	2-15
Figure 2-14: Public Water Supply.....	2-16
Figure 2-15: Public Parks, Recreation, and Conservation Lands.....	2-17
Figure 2-16: Prime Agricultural Soils in Existing Agricultural Use.....	2-18
Figure 2-17: Environmental Justice.....	2-19

Figure 2-18: Study Area Key Intersections.....	2-22
Figure 2-19: ADT Trends on Main St/ Route 20 South of Center St.....	2-24
Figure 2-20: ADT Trends on Route 20 East of Route 102.....	2-24
Figure 2-21: Accident Rates at Mid-Block Segments of Route 20 in Lee.....	2-31
Figure 2-22: Accident Rates of 0.10 or Above at Route 20 Intersections, Lee	2-33
Figure 2-23: Vehicular Travel Time on Route 20, Northbound in Lee.....	2-34
Figure 2-24: Vehicular Travel Time on Route 20, Southbound in Lee.....	2-35
Figure 2-25: Vehicular Travel Time on Route 20, Northbound in Lee (JLT)..	2-36
Figure 2-26: Vehicular Travel Time on Route 20, Southbound in Lee (JLT)..	2-36
Figure 2-27: Existing Berkshire County Traffic Flow Distribution.....	2-37
Figure 3-1: Far West Alternatives.....	3-2
Figure 3-2: Near West Alternatives.....	3-3
Figure 3-3: Lee Central Alternatives.....	3-4
Figure 3-4: Near East Alternatives.....	3-5
Figure 3-5: Far East Alternatives.....	3-6
Figure 3-6: Alternatives Identified for further analysis after coarse screening	3-9
Figure 3-7: Five Remaining Alternatives following August 4 <sup>th</sup> Meeting.....	3-16
Figure 3-8: Pittsfield to I-90 Travel Time Analysis.....	3-20
Figure 3-9: Recommended Alternatives.....	3-30